#### The Fiber Formula – Fact, Fiction & Fantasy

Rodney Casteel, RCDD, DCDC, NTS, OSP - CommScope, Chair TIA FOTC

Paul Neveux, Jr., Ph.D. - Superior Essex International, LP

Tony Irujo, OFS

David Asta, RCDD, Panduit

Tyler Vander Ploeg, RCDD, VIAVI Solutions

Jim Davis, Fluke Networks

Rob Gilberti, AFL

Romain Tursi, EXFO



#### First Half – 80 minutes

- FOTC Introduction Rodney Casteel
- Standards Update Paul Neveux
- Fiber Trends Update Tony Irujo
- MPO Technology David Asta
- Fiber Testing & Inspection Test Manufacturers

Ą٤

Break – 15 minutes

Second Half – 80 minutes

Hands-on stations







# Fiber Optics Tech Consortium

#### www.tiafotc.org

- Part of the Telecommunications Industry Association (<u>www.tiaonline.org</u>)
- Formed 24 years ago as the Fiber Optics LAN Section.
- Mission: to provide current, reliable, and vendor neutral information about fiber optics and related technologies for advancing new and better communications solutions.
- Webinars posted on website <u>www.tiafotc.org</u> or FOTC channel on Bright Talk
  - Webinars are eligible for CEC credit for up to two years after they are first broadcast. Email <u>liz@goldsmithpr.com</u> to receive your CEC.



ABOUT THE FOTC The Fiber Optics Tech Consortium (FOTC) of TA represents technology leaders committed to providing the most current, reliable, and vendor neutral information about fiber optics and related technologies for advancing new and better communications solutions.

#### WEBINARS







# Fiber Optics Technology Consortium

#### **Current Members**

- AFL
- CommScope
- Corning
- DZS
- EXFO
- Fluke Networks
- General Cable
- OFS

**Current Members** 

- Legrand
- Panduit
- Rosenberger NA
- Sumitomo Electric Lightwave
- Superior Essex
- The Siemon Company
- VIAVI Solutions





# Fiber Optics Technology Consortium

- Recent Webinars Available on Demand
  - Implementing Next-gen PON Technologies over Existing Fiber Infrastructure
  - Essential Testing for MPO Systems
  - Field Testing MPO/MPT Connectors to Support 100 Gb/s and Beyond
  - Smart Buildings, Smart Networks

#### Visit <u>www.tiafotc.org</u> or our channel on BrightTalk www.brighttalk.com/channel/727





# **Important Notice**

Any product(s) identified or identifiable via a trade name or otherwise in this presentation as a product(s) supplied by a particular supplier(s) is provided for the convenience of users of this presentation and does not constitute an endorsement of any kind by TIA of the product(s) named. This information may be provided as an example of suitable product(s) available commercially. Equivalent product(s) may be used if they can be shown to lead to the same results.





# IEEE 802.3 OPTICAL FIBER ETHERNET STANDARDS UPDATE

Paul Neveux





## Review: 10, 40 and 100 Gb Ethernet on MMF

Ethernet Speed	IEEE Task Force	Designation	Fiber Type	Numbe r of Fibers	Maximum Link Length (m)	Maximum Channel Insertion Loss (dB)
10 Gb	802.3ae	10GBASE-SR	OM3	2	300	2.6
40 Gb	802.3ba	40GBASE-SR4	OM3	8	100	1.9
40 Gb	802.3ba	40GBASE-SR4	OM4	8	150	1.5
100 Gb	802.3ba	100GBASE-SR10	OM3	20	100	1.9
100 Gb	802.3ba	100GBASE-SR10	OM4	20	150	1.5
100 Gb	802.3bm	100GBASE-SR4	OM4	8	100	1.9
400 Gb	802.3bs	400GBASE-SR16	OM3/4/5	32	80/100/100	1.9



## Review: 40/100/200/400 Gb Ethernet on SMF

Ethernet Speed	IEEE	Designation	Wavelengths	Number of Fibers	Max. Link Length	Max. Channel Insertion Loss (dB)
40 Gb	802.3ba	40GBASE-IR4 40GBASE-LR4	4 λ	2	2 km 10 km	4.0 6.7
100 Gb	802.3ba	100GBASE-LR4	4 λ	2	10 km	6.3
200 Gb	802.3bs	200GBASE-DR4 200GBASE-FR4 200GBASE-LR4	4 λ	4 2 2	500 m 2 km 10 km	3.0 4.0 6.3
400 Gb	802.3bs	400GBASE-DR4 400GBASE-FR8 400GBASE-LR8	4 λ 8 λ 8 λ	4 2 2	500 m 2 km 10 km	3.0 4.0 6.3
2019 BICSI Winter Conference & Exhibition						

#### IEEE 802.3bs - 200/400 Gb/s Ethernet







P802.3cm - 400 Gb/s over MMF

P802.3cd - 50/100/200 Gb/s Ethernet

P802.3ca – 25G/50G EPON





# IEEE 802.3cd 50/100/200 Gb/s Ethernet

#### **Objectives**:

- 50 Gb/s Ethernet PHYs
  - MMF with lengths up to at least 100 m (OM4/5; 50GBASE-SR)
  - SMF with lengths up to at least 2 km and lengths up to at least 10 km
- 100 Gb/s Ethernet PHYs
  - MMF with lengths up to at least 100 m (OM4/5; 100GBASE-SR2)
  - Duplex SMF with lengths up to at least 500 m
- 200 Gb/s Ethernet PHYs
  - MMF with lengths up to at least 100 m (OM4/5; 200GBASE-SR4)
- Status: Approved in December 2018



## IEEE 802.3cd 50/100/200 Gb/s Ethernet

- Biggest hurdle is defining the limits of the "eye" diagram
- Below is eye diagram for NRZ encoding





## IEEE 802.3cd 50/100/200 Gb/s Ethernet

• Actual data of relatively 'clean' transmission





## IEEE 802.3cm 400 Gb/s over MMF

#### **Objectives:**

- Support a MAC data rate of 400 Gb/s
- Define a physical layer specification that supports 400 Gb/s operation over 8 pairs of MMF with lengths up to at least 100m
- Define a physical layer specification that supports 400 Gb/s operation over 4 pairs of MMF with lengths up to at least 100m
- 200GBASE-SR1.4 not approved as objective
- Will include OM3/4/5, with OM4 being the "baseline"
- Two implementations
  - 400GBASE-SR8 using a 16 or 24 fiber MPO
  - 400GBASE-SR4.2 over two wavelengths using 12 fiber MPO
- SR4.2 Wavelengths resolved: Nominal 850 nm and 910 nm
- MPO lane assignment not yet decided



#### Switch to Server Breakout

400G-SR8 module application will be primarily for breakout applications.

#### Switch to Server Breakout





## **Polarity Considerations**

- TIA-568 describes three methods: A, B, C. ISO & CENELEC one.
  - All produce the same signal routing with different sets of components
  - Key application examples:





## 400GBASE-SR4.2 Implementation Approved

- FEC supported 26.5625 GBd using PAM4 modulation
- OM3 and OM4 lengths at least 100 meters
- OM5 length at least 150 meters
- Bi-directional Transmission (BiDi)
  - Allows easier VCSEL launch design
  - Larger eye safety margin, relative to a codirectional approach
  - 100G Bi-Di provides a path to support breakout applications

#### Proposed MDI lane assignment figure for 400GBASE-SR4.2

TR TR TR TR	RT RT RT RT
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0

Legend TR = Transmitter  $\lambda$ 1, Receiver  $\lambda$ 2 RT = Receiver  $\lambda$ 1, Transmitter  $\lambda$ 2

Connectivity requirement - TR lanes connect to RT lanes





## 400GBASE-SR4.2 Link Power Budget

Parameter	OM3	OM4	OM5	Unit
Effective modal bandwidth at 850 nm <sup>a</sup>	2000	4700	4700	MHz-km
Effective modal bandwidth at 918 nm	1210	1850	2890	MHz-km
Power budget (for max TDECQ)		6.6		dB
Operating distance	70	100	150	m
Channel insertion loss <sup>c</sup>	1.8	1.9	2	dB
Allocation for penalties <sup>d</sup> (for max TDECQ)		4.6		dB
Additional insertion loss allowed	0.2	0.1	0	dB





## **New Projects**

- 50/200/400 Gb/s Ethernet over Single Pair SMF To be P802.3cn
  - 50 Gb/s over at least 40 km of SMF
  - 200 Gb/s over 4 wavelengths to distances of at least 40 km
  - 400 Gb/s over 8 wavelengths to distances of at least 40 km
  - Field Trial Data for reaches up to 27km
- 100/400 Gb/s Ethernet over Single Pair SMF To be P802.3ct
  - 100 Gb/s on a single wavelength capable of at least 80 km over a DWDM system
  - 400 Gb/s on a single wavelength capable of at least 80 km over a DWDM system

#### • Super-PON – To be P802.3cs

- Support a passive point-to-multipoint ODN with a reach of at least 50 km with
- at least 1:64 split ratio per wavelength pair
- Support at least 16 wavelength pairs for point-to-multipoint PON operation
- Support the MAC data rate of 10Gb/s downstream
- Support the MAC data rates of 2.5Gb/s and 10Gb/s upstream





# **TIA 42 Standards Meeting Update**





# TIA 42.1 Premises Telecommunications Infrastructure: Update

#### **Document Development**

- Reaffirmation of TSB-162-A Telecommunications Cabling Guidelines for Wireless Access Points will be considered at the next meeting.
- TR-42.1 approved the PAR for TIA-568.0-E *Generic Telecommunications Cabling for Customer Premises* with Ray Emplit as editor.
- TR-42.1 approved the PAR for TIA-568.1-E *Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard* with Ray Emplit as editor.
- TR-42.1 approved the PAR for TIA-4966-A *Telecommunications Infrastructure Standard for Educational Facilities* with Diane Forbes as editor.
- TR-42.1 established a new task group to look at what work needs to be done for Edge Data Centers



## TIA 42.11 Optical Systems

#### • IEC SC86A and SC86B Liaison Reports

- Harmonization efforts between TIA, IEC and ITU
- 200 micron SMF detailed specification being developed
- ANSI/TIA-568.3-D-1
  - The addendum, which includes provisions for OM5 fiber, was approved for publication

#### • Contribution: Understanding Polarity Contribution

- Addresses issue of poorly written section in standard on polarity
- Standard currently has "Methods" A, B and C using "Types" of connectors/adaptors A, B and C
- Totally confusing



## **Component TYPE symbols**

# For determining polarity, we only need to look at the component level.



 TYPE - A components
 No Polarity Change (within row)

TYPE - B components Transpose Fiber (within row - mirror effect)

TYPE - C components Pair-wise flip (within row)

Compound symbol Transpose Row (added to component types A, B, or C)

\* Note: this is only used with dual-row array systems \*







## TR-42.12 Optical Fibers & Cables

- VCSEL weighting function values for OM3/4 Systems
  - Initial analysis shows that the weighting functions are valid for 95% of the use cases
  - Ongoing work continues
- IEC fiber specification adaption update
  - ANSI/TIA 492000-C to be adaption of IEC 60793-2 (general)
  - ANSI/TIA 492AAAF to be adaption of IEC 60793-2-10 (multimode)
  - ANSI/TIA 492CAAC to be adaption of IEC 60793-2-50 (single-mode)
  - Working draft of 492000-C written, shared with spec editors
  - Editors will use to create help drafts of 492AAAF and 492CAAC



#### TR 42.13 Fiber Optic Passive Components and Metrology

- FOTP 171B Approved for 4th Committee Ballot
- FOCIS 19 (CS Connector) SEN-01; reviewed draft document





# **CS** Connector

- Originally designed for transceivers with Dual WDM types
  - Adopted in several specifications
    - ✓ QSFP-DD, OSFP and COBO
- Design
  - A uniboot type connector (2-fiber)
  - Use of 1.25mm ferrule, pitched 3.8mm







# Fiber Industry Trends

#### Tony Irujo OFS tirujo@ofsoptics.com









#### **Network IP Traffic Growth**



- Global IP traffic will reach 4.8 Zettabytes (10<sup>21</sup>) per year in 2022. In 2017, global IP traffic reached 1.5 ZB per year
- Global IP traffic will increase 3x over the next 5 years
- Monthly IP traffic will grow to 50GB per capita in 2022, up from 16GB in 2017.
- Smartphones will make up 44% of total IP traffic in 2022, up from 18% in 2017.

"Cisco Visual Networking Index : Forecast and Methodology, 2017-2022" November 2018







#### Data Center Traffic



Source: Cisco Global Cloud Index: Forecast and Methodology, 2016-2021 January 2018



- Total East-West
   traffic will be 85%
- Traffic is growing at a 25% CAGR







#### **Global Data Center Traffic Growth**

Global Private vs. Public vs. Non-Cloud Enterprise Application Workloads and Compute Instances









#### Worldwide Multimode Cable Demand by Region

All this demand combines to create multimode volume growth!







#### **Burroughs North America Multimode Fiber Shipments**





Source: Burroughs Multimode Fiber Reports





#### The hyperscale cloud market will continue to deploy multimode

- Google
  - Deploying 100GBASE-SR4
  - Roadmap to 400GBASE-SR8

fiber!

- Z. Shen of Google proposed 400GBASE-SR8 for 802.3cm
- Alibaba
  - Deploying 100GBASE-SR4
  - Roadmap to 400GBASE-SR4.2
- Baidu
  - Deploying 100GBASE-SR4
  - Roadmap to 400GBASE-SR4.2
- Other Big Cloud in US
  - Growing interest for 400G-SR4.2, including breakout



# Conclusions

- Bandwidth demand continues to grow
- Multimode demand is growing
- Multimode fiber demand is moving to higher grade fiber types
  - OM4 fiber has the largest share (by type) in North America
- Hyperscale data centers are looking to deploy multimode fiber in next generation data centers




## MPO TECHNOLOGY, TRENDS & CONFIGURATIONS

David Asta, RCDD

Panduit

### PANDUIT







### Boot Crimp Ring **Inner Housing** Spring Female Retainer (No Pins) Male Retainer (Pins) anno MPO 'Ferrule' Ferrule **Outer Housing** MT 12 Fiber Ferrule, (Single Mode) 2019 BICSI Winter Conference & Exhibition Bicsi

### Anatomy of an MPO

## **MT Ferrule Technology**

Optical performance is based on:

- Fiber Alignment (axial & angular based on ferrule & guide pin)
  - True Position of fiber-holes in the ferrule relative to alignment pin holes
  - Tolerance of the alignment pins
  - Diameter tolerance of fiber holes and alignment pin holes
- Fiber Tip Contact (endface geometry + connector spring force)
- Fiber Tip Cleanliness & Quality



Connector Component Quality



**Fiber Tip Quality** 

Endface Quality The fiber alignment is <u>independent</u> of the adapter!





## **TIA Connectivity Definition for MPOs**

The MPO connector family is defined by two existing standards. Internationally the MPO is defined by IEC-61754-7. In North America the MPO is defined by TIA-604-5 (also called FOCIS 5).

FOCIS 18 presents the intermateability standard for connectors with the commercial designation of MPO-16 that support 16 fibers per row of fibers, and is used as an addendum to TIA/EIA-604, *(*2015 Edition, November 23, 2015)





## 4f through 72f fiber MPO Standard







## What Does the Standard Say About MPO?

#### **Plug designation**

The complete designation for a FOCIS 5 connector plug is: FOCIS 5P-n-k-a-c-t

Where:

- P designates that it is the plug
- n is the number of fibers
- k defines the keying configuration
- a is the angle of contact
- c designates alignment pins or holes
- t alignment pin/hole diameter

#### **Number of Fibers**

Values have defined for the number of fibers

4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20, 24....





## **Singlemode Variant**



- Singlemode MTP connectors are polished at a nominal eight (8) degrees with respect to the connector key
- Return Loss from the angled interface is maximized (>55dB)
- Assures that the normal Key Up/Key-Down adapter sleeve aligns the angled surfaces to compliment each other
- Precludes the use of Key Up/Key Up adapters for the single application (unless two different connector polishing orientations are made – not in the FOCIS document for SM)





## **Two Different MPO/MTP Adapters**

#### Adapter designation

Designation for a FOCIS 5 connector adapter is: FOCIS 5A-k-m

where:

A designates that it is the adapter

k defines the keying configuration

m defines the mounting configuration

#### **Adapter Keying Options**

Two options are defined for the adapter keying configuration:

- **k** = **1** standard keying configuration for FOCIS 5 adapters
- k = 2 alternative keying configuration







### FOCIS-5 & FOCIS-18 MPOs



FOCIS-5, 24 fiber position connector





#### FOCIS-18, 32 fiber position connector



### **TIA Connectivity Definition for FOCIS-18 MPOs**

- Published in Q4 2015
- Same MT ferrule footprint & fiber pitch in X & Y axes
- Different pin/hole diameter & pin pitch
- Limited to two rows maximum
- FOCIS 18 defines a flat polish only
- Flat is the norm for all MPO MM
- APC is the norm for all MPO SM
- Offset key to prevent mating with FOCIS 5 connectors
- FOCIS 18 MM connectors available in 1x16 (SR8) and 2x16 formats (SR16)





## "Brute Force" - Multiple Lanes MPO

- Move toward 16 fiber units? 400GBASE-SR16
- 32/16-pin MPO connectors (TR 42.13)
  - Polarity descriptions that cover n-number of fiber units (TR 42.11)
  - 4 new fiber colors to support 16-fiber ribbons bundles (TR 42.12)
- Likely upgrade paths (MM) results in units of 4 fibers:
  - 40G ÷ 10G per fiber = 8 (2x4F) fibers (40GBASE-SR4)
  - 100G ÷ 25G per fiber = 8 (2x4F) fibers (100GBASE-SR4)
  - 400G ÷ 25G per fiber = 32 (2x16F) fibers (400GBASE-SR16)
  - 400G ÷ 50G per fiber = 16 (2x8F) fibers (400GBASE-SR8)
  - 400G ÷ 50G per fiber = 8 (2x4F) fibers (2 lambda = 400GBASE-SR4,2)
  - 400G ÷ 25G per fiber = 8 (2x4F) fibers (4 lambda = 400GBASE-SR4,4)



8 FIBER

(BASE8)





### SFP/QSFP Fiber 'Migration'

		SWDM or CWDM				
	10G/Fiber	25G/Fiber	25G/ $\lambda$ - 4 $\lambda$ /Fiber			
10G	••	N/A	N/A			
25G	N/A	••	N/A			
40G	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$	N/A	N/A			
100G		$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$	€ €			
400G	N/A		$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$			







## Data Center Market: Ethernet Switch Rev. (\$B)



## Which MPO for High Speed Migration?

### MPO-24



### Future ready

Lowest cost duplex support for multimode applications Highest panel density

### MPO-12



### Large installed base

Existing multimode and singlemode preterm deployments Familiar interface and trunks

### MPO-8



### Supports QSFPs

For multimode and singlemode transceivers and breakouts Lowest panel density





## **Duplex Cabling Applications**



- Examples:
- 10G 10G
- 25G 25G
- 40G BiDi
- 4, 8, 16, 32G Fibre Channel



## Parallel to Duplex Cabling Applications



- Examples:
- 40G 10G
- 100G 25G
- 100G 10G
- 64G 16G Fibre Channel
- 128G 32G Fibre Channel



## Parallel to Parallel Cabling Applications



- Examples:
- 40G 40G
- 100G 100G
- 64G 64G Fibre Channel
- 128G 128G Fibre Channel



## **Bottom Line**

- There are a multitude of options for migrating to higher speeds
  - OM3, OM4, OM5, SM
  - MPO 8, MPO 12, MPO 24, MPO 16, MPO 32
  - Parallel & Duplex
  - SWDM, CWDM
  - Proprietary Options
- Need to ensure the strategy includes
  - Long term planning
  - Most efficient, cost effective and sustainable option
  - A solution that can be tested and validated





# **Fiber Testing & Inspection**

Tyler Vander Ploeg, RCDD, VIAVI Solutions Jim Davis, Fluke Networks Romain Tursi, EXFO Rob Gilberti, AFL



# Agenda

- Connector Inspection & Cleaning (Romain Tursi– EXFO)
- Tier 1 Testing (Carolyn Carter– Fluke Networks)
- Tier 2 Testing (Rob Gilberti AFL)
- Testing MPO Connectivity (Tyler Vander Ploeg VIAVI Solutions)
- Break (15 minutes)
- Hands On Training



## Fiber Optic Connectors Inspection and cleaning

Romain Tursi Product Specialist EXFO





## **Connectors come in multiple flavors**

• Single fiber connectors

• Multifiber connectors



 $\Rightarrow$  And both can co-exists in same architecture







## cause of network failures is BAD connectors

- NTT-Advanced Technology Research

# **80%** Network owners report having connector issues



## Why inspecting is important?

Not because it's nice to have clean connectors!



- Direct impact on IL & ORL => BER => System performance and Network reliability
- Bad connectors may work at low data rate and cause failure at higher data rate
- Some soils can change over time (freezing, drying, etc)









## Why are connectors dirty or damaged ?

Skin oil and particles, sticky fingers, hairs, drywall, dusty dust caps,

etc...











## **Dust/dirt/debris residues transfer**



## Cleaning alone is not enough...or too much!

#### DAMAGED = REPLACE

You CANNOT clean a damaged connector



### CLEAN = CONNECT

NO cleaning required



DIRTY = CLEAN Clean ONLY if needed





## **Inspection / Cleaning flow**







## **Connector inspection standards**



#### Standards-based criteria

IEC 61300-3-35 Fiber-optic interconnecting devices and passive components—basic test and measurement procedures



#### http://webstore.iec.ch/ IPC 8497-1

Cleaning methods and contamination assessment for optical assembly http://www.ipc.org/

• Connectors are divided in zones with specific

#### tolerances

- Zones & criteria varies depending connector type:
  - Singlemode vs Multimode,
  - UPC vs APC,
  - Single fiber vs Multifiber





Zones	Scratches	Defects		
A: Core	None	None		
B: Cladding	No limit ≤3 µm None >3 µm	No limit <2 μm 5 from 2 – 5 μm None >5 μm		
C: Adhesive	No limit	No limit		
D: Contact	No limit	None ≥10 µm		

Example : Singlemode single fiber UPC connector zones and criteria as per IEC 61300-3-35 Ed.2





## **Pass/Fail automated assessment**

Using an analytical software guarantees a uniform level of acceptance according to industry standards:



January 20-24 • Orlando, FL, USA

## **Beware of false positives**

Focus adjustment and assessment might be user subjective
=> PASS/FAIL results are impacted by a poor focus.



## How to clean a connector?

	🕑 Dry cleaning 😽			🙆 Wet cleaning 🕠			🖸 🛛 Hybrid cleaning		
	Convenience of readily available tools	Can possibly create electrostatic charges		Can dissolve complex soils and	Can leave residue on the ferrule when too much solvent is		Cleans all soil Reduces potential soil accumula	types static field ation	
	Fast and easy	Not effective in removing all		contaminants	used and not properly dried Solvent choice can		Automatically dries mois and solvent used in th		Requires
		contaminant types		Eliminates the			cleaning proc	ning process	products
				electrostatic discharge on the ferrule	issues of performance and EH&S		Captures soil in wiping material as an integrated aspect of cleaning		
				2019 BICSI Winter Conference & Exhibition			ī	Bicsi	

## **Connectors inspection & cleaning conclusion**

Leave nothing to chance:

- Inspect against appropriate standard, and clean only as needed
- Use reliable and repeatable processes, with controlled focus and pass/fail
- Be equipped for dry & wet cleaning
- Be ready to toggle between single and multifiber inspection and cleaning

## => Don't plug & pray!



## Tier I Testing of Fiber Optic Links

How much light is coming out of the end of the fiber? How much should be coming out?

> Jim Davis Regional Marketing Engineer Fluke Networks





## How Fiber Loss is Measured

Set a reference Run a test Find the difference








### Accurate test process and values will reduce uncertainty

- For less uncertainty in our fiber testing, especially of *multimode* fiber, there are 4 key ingredients to loss testing
  - A one jumper reference
  - An LED source
  - Reference Grade Connectors
  - Encircled Flux compliance





# **Encircled Flux compliance**

• The light source's launch condition determines how and where the light is distributed within the fiber





## **CALCULATING A LOSS BUDGET**

Difference between a TIA limit and an application limit The Loss Budget determines what "Passes" and "Fails"





Which Limits to use? Does this link really pass?

January 20-24 • Orlando, FL, USA

- There is no "Cat 6A" for fiber
- There is conflict between what the standard will support and what the application requires
- Installers should use Custom Limits to certify links



Which Limits to use? Does this link really pass?

- There is no "Cat 6A" for fiber
- There is conflict between what the standard will support and what the application requires
- Installers could use Custom Limits to certify links
  - Manufacturers may offer a custom link loss calculator for their components













#### IEEE 10GBASE-SR Limits = 2.6 dB of loss and 300 Meters



# Tier I Conclusions

- Try to use a One Jumper Reference
  - If testing a connector that you do not have a port for on your power meter, you may have to set a three jumper reference
    - MPO, MTRJ, 'keyed' connectors
- Use the right loss budget
  - TIA/ISO variable 'length' based limit
  - IEEE fixed loss, fixed length application limit
  - Custom limit that mixes both



## OTDR/Tier2 Testing of Fiber Optic Links

Rob Gilberti Sr Product Line Manager







#### **OTDR Functions**

An OTDR uses Reflected Light to measure and characterize an Optical Fiber

#### **Functions**

- Measure Loss and Distance
- Locate and Measure Connectors and Splices
- Locate and Characterize Faults Macrobends, Breaks
- Measure Link Optical Return Loss (ORL)
- Evaluate Connector Reflections

#### Applications/Uses

- Installation/Commissioning Troubleshooting
- Emergency Restoration
- Fiber/Link Characterization
- Maintenance
- Link/Network Quality Assurance





#### The OTDR Trace





#### **OTDR Two-point** insertion loss including end connections



### Using an OTDR to Fault Locate





### **OTDR Bi-Directional Testing**

- If backscatter characteristics are different from each fiber, measured loss across the event will be exaggerated in one direction and reduced in the other direction
  - Different fiber types (e.g. G.652.D vs. G.657.B2) have different backscatter
  - Older fiber typically has higher backscatter then newer fiber
- A more accurate measure of an event's loss is obtained by testing the network from each end and averaging the measured event loss in both directions at each event





### How to determine OTDR Pulse Width



### **Reflectance – It's important!**

#### Standards

	<u>10Gbase</u>	TIA-568.3-D	Industry
SMF	-26dB	-35dB	-35 to -50dB (UPC)
MMF	-20dB	-20dB	

#### High Reflectance can indicate poor quality connections or UPC/APC mismatches



### Multi-fiber OTDR Testing with MPO Switch



Connect to MPO Network or use Hydra/Breakout to connect to individual fibers/connectors

OTDR controls switch via USB and Software cycles through 12 fibers automatically testing each fiber

OTDR captures .SOR files for 12 individual fibers for dual wavelength and consolidates data for single report





# **Testing MPO Connectors**

OLTS for Tier I OTDR for Tier II

Tyler Vander Ploeg, RCDD Fiber Solutions Marketing Manager VIAVI Solutions





## Which MPO connections will you likely test?

#### 12 Fiber



- Large installed base
- Existing MM & SM deployments
- Familiar interface and trunks
- For plug and play cassettes in datacom environment
- 40 Gig applications

### 8 Fiber



- Supports QSFPs
- For MM & SM transceivers and breakouts
- Lowest panel density
- Removes 4 fibers in middle
- 40 & 100 Gig applications

#### 24 Fiber



- Future ready
- Lowest cost duplex support for multimode applications
- Highest panel density
- For data center & server side
- 100 Gig applications



### **MPO Testing Scenarios**



### **Inspect ALL fibers in a Multi-Fiber Connector**









X-AXIS











## **MPO Tier 1 Certification**

#### **Duplex Optical Loss Test Set**

- Test MPO Links and Channels
- Loss, length and polarity
- Uses a cable or cassette to breakout MPO into simplex fibers
- Test results for each duplex fiber pair one set at a time



## **MPO Tier 1 Certification**

#### **Dedicated MPO Optical Loss Test Set**

- Test MPO Links and Channels
- Loss, length and polarity
- Plug MPO connectors directly into field test device
- Test results for all fibers in the MPO connector together







### MPO/MTP Testing with Duplex OLTS – 3 Jumper Reference



## **Testing with Dedicated MPO OLTS**

- Set Reference with MPO test leads on each end
- 2. Add "Device Under Test" in middle
- 3. View & document results







# Main Challenge for Tier 1 Testing of MPO

- One-cord reference
  - If test set has pinned ports then unpinned to unpinned test cord must be used to perform reference
  - Receive cord is then added (unpinned to unpinned)
  - Can then test a pinned system
  - Cannot verify reference without adding a third cord
- There are MPO connectors available that allow pins to be retracted or removed
  - Helps solve pinned/unpinned challenges



## **Selecting Channels**

- Can apply to any of the above scenarios
- Allows selection of which of the 12 channels are part of pass/fail analysis
- Eliminates false fails in cases when 8 or fewer fibers are present in MPO links (e.g. 40GBASE-SR4)
- Results reflect topology







## **Tier 2 Testing of MPO**

- Tier 1 testing cannot ensure individual event (splices and connection) losses are within spec OR the cable attenuation is uniform
- Tier 2 (OTDR) testing adds the characterization of these events to the certification test
- Tier 2 testing is also the ideal fiber trouble shooting tool to find the cause AND location of excess loss (incl. breaks) and reflectance
- Requires MPO switch or breakout cables
- Pinned/unpinned systems require different launch and receive cords



# **OTDR Testing of MPO Connectors**

Don't forget your Pinned/Unpinned connections! ©

- Ideally you will have at least 30 Meters of Launch and Receive fibers
  - Provided that the link under test is short: standards call out 100M and 150M
- Use a fan out cord or cassette to convert from Single fiber Port on OTDR



## **MPO OTDR Testing (External Switch)**



#### Automatic switching driven by the OTDR via USB



## Thank You For Your Time

COMMSCOPE"

Rodney Casteel RCDD/NTS/OSP/DCDC rcasteel@commscope.com



Paul Neveux, Jr., Ph.D Paul.Neveux@spsx.com ofs Tony Irujo tirujo@ofsoptics.com

**FAFL** Rob Gilberti <u>Rob.Gilberti@aflglobal.com</u>

EXFO Romain Tursi romain.tursi@exfo.com

#### PANDUIT

David J Asta RCDD David.Asta@Panduit.com

FLUKE networks.

Jim Davis jim.davis2@flukenetworks.com



Tyler Vander Ploeg, RCDD Tyler.VanderPloeg@viavisolutions.com



