Passive Optical LAN: Do you really need it?

Talita lanaguihara Favoreto Furukawa Electric LatAm



Evolution and Trends

Crescent bandwidth demand



Source: Sandvine

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Netflix

YouTube (18%) HTTP (6%) Amazon Video (3%) iTunes (3%) BitTorrent (3%) Hulu (3%) Facebook (3%)

Other (25%)



Evolution and Trends

Current cabling challenges

- Better use of resources
- Greater security
- Better quality of services
- Greater speed and performance



Technological Evolution



Mainframe Era

PC Era

Centralized machines Time Share Intelligence-free Terminals **Centralized Control Energetic Cost** Low Performance **Coaxial Network**

Personal Computers Local Processing and Storage **Pulverized Control** PCs with High Processing Capacity High Energetic Cost Waste of Resources **Traditional Client-Server** Network



Internet (Web) Social Media Smartphones / Tablets Access to Information **Control Tools** Video Streaming **High Performance Data Democratic Information** Centers Shared Resources Local Access High Performance High Energetic Cost FTTx Broadband Waste of Resources **Passive Optical Global Networks** Networks (POLAN) **Dialed Access**

New technologies demand, new solutions



Why Fibre Optics?



Reduced dimensions



Secure data traffic



Supports long distances



All-Dielectric





Several services in one fibre



Opticalization: **Datacenters** Access networks Structured cabling



Higher bandwidth and transmission capacity



2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa **District Conference & Exhibition**

Low attenuation

Chemically stable (non-corrosive)

Passive Optical LANs

Concept:



Source: http://www.lightwaveonline.com/articles/2012/10/technologyand-business-drivers-for-passive-optical-lans.html

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Passive Optical LAN Solution



ONT

OLT



Passive Optical LANs

Concept:









Passive Optical LANs Topology

Pre-terminated Solution





Comparison: Conventional x Passive Optical LAN

Commercial building









Comparison: Conventional x Passive Optical LAN



2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa **District Conference & Exhibition**



ONT	
ONT	
	1

Infrastructure Reduction

Simulation of duct occupation:



Conventional Network 240 cables Cat.6 Each 100 m = 1,008 kg



POLAN Horizontal Cabling

60 1F cables 94% less occupation Each 100 m = 60 kg (94% less)







POLAN Distribution Cabling

10 12F cables 96% less occupation Each 100 m = 36 kg (96% less)

More efficient and sustainable network!

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Conventional x POLAN:



Infrastructure Reduction

Conventional x POLAN:

- ✓ Materials cost reduction
- ✓ Installation and maintenance cost reduction
- ✓ Installation and maintenance time reduction
- ✓ No rack maneuverings







More efficient and sustainable network!





Comparison: active equipment to manage

1024 ports

	Without Red	With Backbone	
	Conventional Network	POLAN	Conventional Network
Core Equipment	1 switch	1 switch	2 switches
Distribution Equipment	2 switches	1 OLTs	4 switches
Access Equipment	43 switches	256 ONTs	43 switches
Total configurable equipment	46	2	49

(P)





Savings with Passive Optical LAN

Do you need these Savings?

savings on cables savings on racks

savings on plastic savings on space

savings on power





Standards Reference

POLAN is based on structured cabling technical references aiming to standardize its design and installation

ANSI/TIA-568.0

ANSI/TIA-606



ANSI/TIA-568.1

ANSI/TIA-568.3

TDMM 13

1 Entrance Facility







6

Telecommunication Room/ Cabinet

Work Area/ Optical Termination

Equipment Room



Pre-Terminated Solution Highlights

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa **District Conference & Exhibition** neither fusions products

performed enclosure (cabinet) enclosure (cabinet)

Pre-Terminated Solution Highlights

Installation Time de Installation: Infrastructure: pathways, technical rooms and cabinets no fusions neither connectorizations **Vulnerabilities:** human failures Plug and Play: factory-Wastes: no connectorizations connectorized products neither fusions **Performance:** quick and safe Optimize Reduce **Complexity:** plug and play installation products Port utilization: centralized topology, network flexibility

Do you need these Highlights?

	l te	ed /	
Energy: less active and cooling equipment			Maintenance: ma pe
Labor: more agile installation an less investment in training	d Savings	Centralize	inside the telecommu enclosure
Space: technical rooms can be reduced or eliminated			Management: active eq inside the telecommu enclosure
			Flexibility: Easy layou

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition naneuvers performed unications e (cabinet) equipment unications e (cabinet) out change

POLAN Advantages

- Innovation and Technology

✓ All-Optical StructuredCabling System

Green and Efficient Network

- Reduction of Power
 Consumption
- ✓ Infrastructure Optimization

Logical and Physical Security

- ✓ Native Standard Cryptography
- ✓ Carrier Standard of Reliability
- ✓ Electromagnetic Immunity

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Future Proof Technology

Optical infrastructure with Tbps capacity

Optimized Operation

- ✓ Centralized Control
- ✓ High Availability

POLAN Advantages

Innovation and Technology Fully Optical Structured Cabling Network

Green and
 Efficient Network
 Reduction of Power Consumption
 Infrastructure Optimization

Do you need these Advantages?

Flexible
 Infrastructure
 Easy to handle and expand

Logical and Physical Security

Native Standard Cryptography Carrier Standard of Reliability Electromagnetic Immunity

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Future Proof Technology Optical infrastructure with Tbps capability

Optimized Operation Centralized Control High Availability

POLAN Highlights – Capex and OPEX

Real Estate Service Agreements Time and Costs **Rental Costs** Energy Costs **OPEX** Training Costs Capacity Change Management Management Time and Costs Time and Costs Network Patches and **Upgrades** Costs

Incident Management Time and Costs

AFRICA

POLAN Highlights – Capex and OPEX

POLAN Highlights – Capex and OPEX

Time and Costs

Incident Management Time and Costs

Physical and Logical Security Risks and Costs

Capacity Management Time and Costs

Market Verticals

POLAN adds value to several kinds of business

Enterprises Call Centers Airports Hotels

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Industries Logistics Universities Public Facilities

POLAN: Enterprise

- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- ✓ Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- ✓ Longer Life for Cabling
- ✓ Less Physical Space Usage: Lower Real Estate Costs
- ✓ Convergent Network: Data, Voice, Video, WiFi, CCTV, BMS
- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk
- ✓ Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)

POLAN: Healthcare

- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk
- ✓ Less Physical Space Usage: more space available to healthcare activities
- Convergent Network: Data, Voice, Video, WiFi, Automation, PACS, PIMS, CCTV, BMS
- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- ✓ Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- ✓ Immune to EMI and doesn't generate EMI
- Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)

WERGENCY Emergency Patient Parking Main Entrance Physician Parking

POLAN: Hotel and Convention Centres

- ✓ Convergent Solution: Voice, Data, WiFi, IPTV, CCTV, BMS
- ✓ Support to Large Areas
- ✓ WiFi Service Quality
- Less Physical Space Usage: more space available for rooms
- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)
- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk

POLAN: Call Centres

- Convergent Solution: Data, Voice (Support to IP and Analog Phones)
- ✓ Support to Large Areas
- Less Physical Space Usage: More space available to Operators positions
- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- ✓ Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)
- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk

POLAN: Shipping, Logistics & Airports

- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk
- ✓ Support to Large Areas
- Less Physical Space Usage: more space available to primary functions
- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- Convergent Solution: Data, Voice, Video, Automation, Industrial, CCTV
- ✓ Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- ✓ Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)

POLAN: Industry / IoT

- ✓ Industry 4.0
- ✓ Internet Of Things
- ✓ Higher Equipment Reliability and Less Physical Risk
- ✓ Support to Large Areas
- ✓ Easier to Manage, Maintain and Change
- ✓ Savings in TCO (CAPEX, OPEX, Energy)
- ✓ Convergent Solution: Industrial Protocol Compatibility
- ✓ Reduction on Environmental Impact (Energy and Life Cycle)

Hospitality Sector

Why consider providing fi **Global Demand** Asia

Global Rank	Country/Region	% Above 15 Mbps	QoQ Change	YoY Change
1	South Korea	69%	7.8%	-0.4%
4	Hong Kong	54%	2.8%	13%
5	Japan	52%	3.3%	20%
6	Singapore	51%	-1.4%	20%
13	Thailand	43%	56%	186%
19	Taiwan	38%	16%	17%
27	New Zealand	32%	26%	111%
47	Australia	19%	21%	90%
52	Malaysia	14%	28%	339%
57	Vietnam	11%	69%	1,222%
58	India	10%	38%	405%
63	Philippines	6.2%	72%	509%
69	Indonesia	5.0%	16%	520%
70	China	5.0%	122%	1,146%
-	Sri Lanka	11%	101%	556%

Global Rank Country/Region % Above 15 Mbps CooQ Change YoY Change 8 2 Switzerland 56% 3.9% 26% 5 3 Norway 54% 0.1% 8.1% 5 7 Sweden 47% 5.6% 14% 5 9 Romania 47% 5.6% 14% 65 10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 65 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 66 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 66 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 72 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 72 16 Latvia 38% -0.7% -10% 75 20 Bulgaria 38% -0.7% 0.9% -2% 21 Spain 30% 1.2% 27% -2% 23 Czech Republic	Europe							
2 Switzerland 56% 3.9% 26% 3 Norway 54% 0.1% 8.1% 7 Sweden 49% 0.6% 7.1% 9 Romania 47% 5.6% 14% 10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% -0.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 21% Bulgaria 38% -0.2% 0.9% 23 Czech Republic 36% 1.2% 29% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% <th>Global Rank</th> <th>Country/Region</th> <th>% Above 15 Mbps</th> <th>QoQ Change</th> <th>YoY Change</th> <th>8</th>	Global Rank	Country/Region	% Above 15 Mbps	QoQ Change	YoY Change	8		
3 Norway 54% 0.1% 8.1% 7 Sweden 49% 0.6% 7.1% 9 Romania 47% 5.6% 14% 10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -0.7% 10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -0.2% 0.9% 21 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 33% 10% 27% 35 Austria 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 25% 0.1%	2	Switzerland	56%	3.9%	26%	- 50		
7 Sweden 49% 0.6% 7.1% 5.4% 9 Romania 47% 5.6% 14% 10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -6.2% 14% 21 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% 0.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 22% 2.8% 19% 35 Austria 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 23% 2.2% 4.3% <td>3</td> <td>Norway</td> <td>54%</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>8.1%</td> <td>50</td>	3	Norway	54%	0.1%	8.1%	50		
9 Romania 47% 5.6% 14% 10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 37% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 10.2% 0.9% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 26 Lithuania 32% -0.9% 4.3% 31 Portugal 25% 0.1% 3.5% 35 Austria 25% 0.1%	7	Sweden	49%	0.6%	7.1%	54		
10 Denmark 46% -4.5% 14% 11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 37% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 26 Lithuania 32% -0.9% 4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -2.3% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% <	9	Romania	47%	5.6%	14%	65		
11 Netherlands 46% -0.4% 8.4% 66 12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 66 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 72 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 72 17 Ireland 37% 2.1% 29% 73 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 75 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 75 21 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 75 22 Spain 36% -0.2% 0.9% - 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% - 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% - - 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 6.6% - <td>10</td> <td>Denmark</td> <td>46%</td> <td>-4.5%</td> <td>14%</td> <td></td>	10	Denmark	46%	-4.5%	14%			
12 Finland 45% 1.6% 21% 14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 23% 2.2% 4.3% 40 Slovenia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2%	11	Netherlands	46%	-0.4%	8.4%	66		
14 United Kingdom 42% 5.4% 16% 15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% 4.3% 31 Portugal 25% 0.1% 3.5% 35 Austria 25% 0.1% 3.5% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6%	12	Finland	45%	1.6%	21%	68		
15 Belgium 41% 1.4% 6.8% 17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% 4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 21% 1.3% -2.1% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -2.1% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 45 France 18% 14% 33% <t< td=""><td>14</td><td>United Kingdom</td><td>42%</td><td>5.4%</td><td>16%</td><td>70</td></t<>	14	United Kingdom	42%	5.4%	16%	70		
17 Ireland 39% 2.1% 29% 73 18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 75 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 75 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 96 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% - 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% - - 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% - </td <td>15</td> <td>Belgium</td> <td>41%</td> <td>1.4%</td> <td>6.8%</td> <td>12</td>	15	Belgium	41%	1.4%	6.8%	12		
18 Latvia 38% -6.7% -10% 20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% 4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76%	17	Ireland	39%	2.1%	29%	73		
20 Bulgaria 38% -3.0% -5.2% 22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% 0.1% 3.5% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14%	18	Latvia	38%	-6.7%	-10%	75		
22 Spain 36% 1.2% 29% 23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43%	20	Bulgaria	38%	-3.0%	-5.2%			
23 Czech Republic 36% -0.2% 0.9% 24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% 4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% <	22	Spain	36%	1.2%	29%	-		
24 Hungary 34% 6.2% 14% 25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	23	Czech Republic	36%	-0.2%	0.9%	_		
25 Germany 33% 10% 27% 28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	24	Hungary	34%	6.2%	14%	_		
28 Lithuania 32% -0.9% -4.3% 31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	25	Germany	33%	10%	27%	-		
31 Portugal 29% 2.4% -5.6% 35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 57 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	28	Lithuania	32%	-0.9%	-4.3%	-		
35 Austria 26% 2.8% 19% 36 Malta 25% 4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	31	Portugal	29%	2.4%	-5.6%			
36 Malta 25% -4.6% -2.3% 37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	35	Austria	26%	2.8%	19%	_		
37 Poland 25% 0.1% 3.5% 39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	36	Malta	25%	-4.6%	-2.3%	Figu		
39 Slovenia 24% -2.9% 0.6% 40 Slovakia 23% 2.2% 4.3% 42 Russia 21% 1.3% -9.1% 43 Estonia 21% 3.6% -2.2% 44 Luxembourg 20% 14% 43% 49 France 18% 14% 33% 55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	37	Poland	25%	0.1%	3.5%	_		
40Slovakia23%2.2%4.3%42Russia21%1.3%-9.1%43Estonia21%3.6%-2.2%44Luxembourg20%14%43%49France18%14%33%55Italy12%17%73%59Croatia8.3%14%76%64Greece6.2%22%43%-Cyprus5.8%-4.4%62%	39	Slovenia	24%	-2.9%	0.6%			
42Russia21%1.3%-9.1%43Estonia21%3.6%-2.2%44Luxembourg20%14%43%49France18%14%33%55Italy12%17%73%59Croatia8.3%14%76%64Greece6.2%22%43%-Cyprus5.8%-4.4%62%	40	Slovakia	23%	2.2%	4.3%			
43Estonia21%3.6%-2.2%44Luxembourg20%14%43%49France18%14%33%55Italy12%17%73%59Croatia8.3%14%76%64Greece6.2%22%43%-Cyprus5.8%-4.4%62%	42	Russia	21%	1.3%	-9.1%			
44Luxembourg20%14%43%49France18%14%33%55Italy12%17%73%59Croatia8.3%14%76%64Greece6.2%22%43%-Cyprus5.8%-4.4%62%	43	Estonia	21%	3.6%	-2.2%			
49France18%14%33%55Italy12%17%73%59Croatia8.3%14%76%64Greece6.2%22%43%-Cyprus5.8%-4.4%62%	44	Luxembourg	20%	14%	43%			
55 Italy 12% 17% 73% 59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	49	France	18%	14%	33%			
59 Croatia 8.3% 14% 76% 64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	55	Italy	12%	17%	73%			
64 Greece 6.2% 22% 43% - Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	59	Croatia	8.3%	14%	76%			
- Cyprus 5.8% -4.4% 62%	64	Greece	6.2%	22%	43%			
	-	Cyprus	5.8%	-4.4%	62%			

Global Rank	Count	ry/Regio	n % Above 15 Mbps	с	QoQ .hange	Yo Cha	oY nge			
8	United	States	48%		14%	36	%			
16	Canad	а	40%		16%	25	5%	Ai	merica	
50	Chile		15%		18%	24	3%			
54	Urugua	ау	13%		55%	20	6%			
65	Mexico)	6.1%		15% 529		:%			
66	Brazil		5.8%	16%		451%				
68	Argei		1	1				1		
72	Peru	Global Rank	Country/Regi	on	% Ab	ove bos	Qo Char	Q	YoY Change	
73	Ecua	24	Onter		220	, 	2/6		2429/	
75	Color	20	Qatar		337	6 /	307	76 07	24276	
-	Panai	29	Kenya		317	5 -30		76 ~	24/%	
-	Costa	32	Israel		287	5 -7.6		%	16%	
-	Bolivi	60	Turkey	Turkey		7.5%		%	77%	
-	Vene	62	United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates		6.3%		%	11%	
-	Parag	67	South Africa	South Africa 5.8% -		-6.1	%	-25%		
gure 22:	15 Mb	71	Saudi Arabia		4.75	%	215	%	836%	
76 - - - -		76	Morocco	Morocco		1.4%		%	511%	
		-	Kuwait		8.9%		-1.0	1%	67%	
		-	Nigeria		0.9%		-5.5	%	83%	
		-	Namibia	Namibia		0.3%		%	231%	
		-	Egypt		0.35	%	243	%	-61%	
		Iran	Iran		%	159	%	35%		
		Figure 37	: 15 Mbps Broad	lban So	<mark>d Adopti</mark> urce: Co	on (IPv4	i) by M tivity	EA C	ountry ort Akamai	

Figure 27: 15 Mbps Broadband Adoption (IPv4) by APAC Country/Region

Figure 32: 15 Mbps Broadband Adoption (IPv4) by European Country

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa **District Conference & Exhibition**

as

Source: Connectivity report Akamai

Hospitality Sector

Why consider providing fiber to the customer?

Trip Advisor Index

Tripadvisor Insights

Get Started V

- 1 in 5 travelers (21%) have chosen a destination because a hotel had a special offer or package.
- "TV tourism" is on the rise: 1 in 5 global travelers have visited a destination because they saw it on a TV show.

Trend #4 – Staying cool and connected

Among the amenities that travelers will look for when they book an accommodation in 2016, air conditioning and WiFi stand out.

- Globally, 63% of travelers said air conditioning is a must-have when choosing a place to stay. That makes it more of a deal-breaker than breakfast (40%) or a swimming pool (26%).
- 46% said free in-room WiFi is a must-have amenity—meaning that, if an accommodation did not provide it, they would look elsewhere.
- 26% of travelers said that they require an accommodation that has super-fast WiFi; 11% are willing to pay extra for this service.

2019 BICSI Middle East & Africa District Conference & Exhibition

Build Your Business v Mar

Hospitality Sector

Improve the digital experience to attract and to retain customers

Fiber To The Room

✓ HDTV / IPTV
 ✓ Video Conference
 ✓ Check-out in the room
 ✓ Broadband Internet
 ✓ Video Streaming
 ✓ Temperature Control

Hospitality Sector - Success Case

900 guest rooms in 4 buildings 3 OLTs **250 ONTs**

Supported Services

Ready for

VoIP

IPTV

Hospitality Sector - Potential Extra Revenue

Benefits for the business and for the guests

400m² = 8 50m² rooms

+8 rooms x USD 500 per day x 1 year = **USD 306k** extra revenue +8 rooms x USD 500 per day x 2 years = **USD 613k** extra revenue +8 rooms x USD 500 per day x 3 years = **USD 919k** extra revenue +8 rooms x USD 500 per day x 4 years = **USD 1.2M** extra revenue +8 rooms x USD 500 per day x 5 years = **USD 1.5M** extra revenue (with 70% occupancy rate)

Passive Optical LAN: Do you really need it?

Sure you do!

Talita lanaguihara Favoreto

Thank you!

Furukawa Electric LatAm

